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Chapter 1

1. Algorithm Analysis
2. Examples
3. In-Class work
An algorithm is a step-by-step procedure defined for a mathematical model of computing.

It can use variables, conditional expressions, looping, and sequences of steps.

It can be expressed in pseudocode, flowcharts, or languages such as Python, C++ or even English.
A program will take a certain amount of time to run, and it will use other resources as well, such as space (memory).

This depends on:

- the size of the input to the program (how many data items are being processed), and
- the model of the computer, etc.

It is important to use an algorithm which will guarantee to the user that for reasonably sized inputs the program will run “quickly”.

Algorithm analysis allows us to characterize algorithms according to how much time and memory they require to accomplish the tasks.
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Asymptotic Analysis

Asymptotic analysis is a way to compare algorithms to decide which one will have a faster running time for most inputs.

This is done by determining the running time function $T(n)$, depending on the input size $n$, and then determining the behavior of this function as $n$ becomes large.

$T(n)$ of an algorithm on a particular input $n$ is the number of primitive operations or “steps”.
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Suppose that for one algorithm the running time is $T_1(n) = 4n + 2$ while for a second the running time is $T_2(n) = 3n^2$.

Which function grows faster when $n$ grows?

$T_1(2) = 10$, $T_2(2) = 12$ - not so bad yet, ... but

$T_1(10) = 42$, $T_2(10) = 300$ and

$T_1(100) = 402$, $T_2(100) = 30,000$ - this is already serious

Let’s take a look at their ratio:

$$r(x) = \frac{T_1(x)}{T_2(x)} = \frac{4x + 2}{3x^2} = \frac{4x}{3x^2} + \frac{2}{3x^2} = \frac{4}{3x} + \frac{2}{3x^2}$$

$r(x) \to 0$, as $x \to \infty$. Graph of $y = r(x)$ has an asymptote $y = 0$. 
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Some Math
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**Theta (Θ) Notation**

Let’s use even more simplifying abstraction: it is the rate of growth or the order of growth of the running time that really interests us.

We therefore consider only the leading term of a formula, since the lower-order terms are relatively insignificant for large $n$, and ignore the leading coefficient.

In that last slide, we would say that

$T_1(n) = 4n + 2$, a linear function, is a $Θ(n)$ function, and $T_2(n) = 3n^2$, a quadratic function, is $Θ(n^2)$.

Formally, a function $T(n)$ is $Θ(f(n))$ if there are constants $c_1$, $c_2$ and $n_0$ such that for all $n > n_0$, $c_1 f(n) < T(n) < c_2 f(n)$. 
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**Algorithm Analysis**

**Theta (Θ) Notation**

A function $f(n)$ is $\Theta(g(n))$ if there are constants $c_1$, $c_2$ and $n_0$ such that for all $n > n_0$, $c_1g(n) < f(n) < c_2g(n)$.
Algorithm Analysis: Function Growth
Algorithm Analysis

Some Facts About $\Theta$ Notation

- A $\Theta(n^2)$ running time will dominate (be eventually slower than) a $\Theta(n)$ running time.
- A $\Theta(1)$ running time is a constant (times 1) so it does not grow as $n$ increases. (This is the fastest kind of algorithm.)
- A $\Theta(\log n)$ algorithm is faster than a $\Theta(n)$ algorithm.
Big O Notation

There is a less precise way to classify functions called "big-O" or simply $O$-notation.

A function $T(n)$ is $O(n^2)$, for example, if it is eventually less than $cn^2$ for some $c$.

This limits how large the running time is for large $n$, (i.e. how slow the algorithm is), but it gives less information: there is no lower bound on the growth of the function $T(n)$ – it could actually be faster than $cn$ for some $c$.

Thus, $T_2(n) = 3n^2$ is $O(n^2)$, but so is $T_1(n) = 4n + 2$. (Any $O(n)$ function is automatically $O(n^2)$, since it can be bounded above by a quadratic function.)
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Big O, Θ and Ω Notations

A function $f(n)$ is $Θ(g(n))$ if there are constants $c_1$, $c_2$ and $n_0$ such that for all $n > n_0$, $c_1g(n) < f(n) < c_2g(n)$

A function $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ if there are constant $c$ and $n_0$ such that for all $n > n_0$, $f(n) < cg(n)$

A function $f(n)$ is $Ω(g(n))$ if there are constants $c$ and $n_0$ such that for all $n > n_0$, $f(n) > cg(n)$
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There are three types of analysis, possibly giving different running time functions:

- **Best case** is the fastest possible time. *We don’t use this*, since all it tells us is what will happen if you are lucky. This gives no guarantee to the customer.

- **Average case** is how fast the algorithm runs on average, over different sets of inputs. It gives a good idea of how much time the program will use over a long period of time.

- **Worst case** is how fast the algorithm is guaranteed to run.
To get the running time $T(n)$:

1. count each single step as 1 time unit, then
2. using math, calculate how many time units it takes the entire algorithm to run if the size of the input is $n$. 
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First Example: Linear Search

def search(items, target):
    i = 0
    while i < len(items):
        if items[i] == target:
            return i
    i += 1
return -1
Analysis of Linear Search (worst case)

def search(items, target):
    i = 0  # 1 step
    while i < len(items):  # 2 steps (comparison, len)
        worst case: n iterations (no target)
        if items[i] == target:  # 2 steps
            return i  # never executed
        i += 1  # 1 step
    return -1  # 1 step

running time: \( T(n) = 5n + 2 \).
The algorithm is \( \Theta(n) \).
Analysis of Linear Search (worst case)

```python
def search(items, target):
    i = 0  # 1 step
    while i < len(items):  # 2 steps (comparison, len)
        worst case: n iterations (no target)
        if items[i] == target:  # 2 steps
            return i  # never executed
        i += 1  # 1 step
    return -1  # 1 step
```

running time: \( T(n) = 5n + 2 \).
The algorithm is \( \Theta(n) \).
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Second Example: Binary Search (Precondition: items list is sorted)

def search(items, target):
    low = 0
    high = len(items) - 1
    while low <= high:
        mid = (low + high) // 2
        item = items[mid]
        if target == item:
            return mid
        elif target < item:
            high = mid - 1
        else:
            low = mid + 1
    return -1
2) Now, let's see how the binary search algorithm provided in our book works: let's take a list of 8 integer numbers: 1, 5, 8, 9, 10, 13, 17, 49, and let's try to find 26 (note that they are already sorted as Binary Search has this requirement)

2 cuts: 4 numbers
3 cuts: 2 numbers
4 cuts: 1 number

STOP

No cuts here, since there is no numbers left – we just compare
## Binary Search Example

With binary search we can see the following pattern:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>List size</th>
<th># of cuts</th>
<th>(2^{# of cuts} = n) dependency?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(2^0=2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(2^1=2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(2^2=4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(2^3=8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(2^4=16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do you see \(2^{# of cuts} = n\) dependency?

If we re-write it in logarithmic form:
\[
\log_2 n = # of cuts
\]
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Analysis of Binary Search (worst case)

def search(items, target):
    low = 0  # 1 step
    high = len(items) - 1  # 2 steps
    while low <= high:  # 1 step; \log_2 n iterations
        mid = (low + high) // 2  # 2 steps
        item = items[mid]  # 1 step
        if target == item:
            return mid
        elif target < item:
            high = mid - 1  # 1 or 0 steps
        else:
            low = mid + 1  # 1 or 0 steps
    return -1  # 1 step
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Analysis of Binary Search (worst case): \( T(n) = 6(\log_2 n) + 4 \)

```python
def search(items, target):
    low = 0  # 1 step
    high = len(items) - 1  # 2 steps
    while low <= high:
        mid = (low + high) // 2  # 2 steps
        item = items[mid]  # 1 step
        if target == item:
            return mid  # 1 step
        elif target < item:
            high = mid - 1  # 1 or 0 steps
        else:
            low = mid + 1  # 1 or 0 steps
    return -1  # 1 step
```
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Analysis of Binary Search (worst case): \( T(n) = 6(\log_2 n) + 4 \)

So this algorithm is \( \Theta(\log n) \).
(In \( \Theta \) notation, we don’t care what the logarithm base is, since changing from base \( a \) to base \( b \) is just multiplying by a constant, \( \log_b a \).)

**Conclusion:** binary search (\( \Theta(\log n) \)) is faster than linear search (\( \Theta(n) \))
but: binary search needs sorted array, whereas linear search does not require it.
In-class work

see the handout